



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/665,109

09/18/2003

Hyuk-Soo Son

0100-P0013A

6131

66837

7590

05/29/2008

HYUN JONG PARK

41 WHITE BIRCH ROAD

REDDING, CT 06896-2209

EXAMINER

WHIPKEY, JASON T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2622

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/29/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/665,109	Applicant(s) SON, HYUK-SOO	
	Examiner Jason T. Whipkey	Art Unit 2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 8-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-12 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 13-15 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant also argues that Berstis discloses that “one file includes three data corresponding to one picture taken. The first is an image data, the second a recorded voice data, and the third a translated text data” (see page 7, lines 3-6; emphasis original). The examiner disagrees.

Berstis discloses that “any other format that maintains the association among the image data, the recorded voice data and the translated text data may be used as well. For example, a well-known file system may be used” (see column 5, lines 44-48). If all three components were in the same file, no file system would be necessary to maintain the association between the data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 8-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis (U.S. Patent No. 6,721,001) in view of Otala (U.S. Patent No. 6,954,229), Endo (U.S. Patent No. 6,763,182), Anderson (U.S. Patent No. 6,683,649), and Shioji (U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0015100).

Regarding **claim 8**, Berstis discloses a method of managing user data-files in a portable digital apparatus (digital camera 102) having a display device (not shown; see column 4, line 66, through column 5, line 2), and an interface for a recording medium (memory 214; see column 3, lines 4-8), the method comprising the steps of:

generating representative voice files (such as 504 and 510 in Figure 5) and data-files (such as files 502, 506, 508, and 512) in a corresponding directory (a file system may be used, which inherently includes a directory structure; see column 5, line 48) through manipulation by a user (a user captures each file; see column 3, lines 18-28), the representative voice files and data-files sequentially arranged based on the order of generation of the representative voice files and the data-files (see column 5, lines 30-43);

grouping the data-files into groups of files such that each respective group is associated with its representative voice file (files are grouped according to their location relative to other files; see *id.*).

Berstis is silent with regard to having each representative voice file for describing a group of data files.

Otala discloses an image management system, including a digital camera 14 that stores image and voice data files, wherein:

each representative voice file (sound file 45 in Figure 4A) for describing a group of the data-files (the disclosure acknowledges that in the prior art, sound files were used to verbally describe a picture [see column 1, lines 20-24]; in the disclosed invention, a single sound file is associated with a plurality of image files [see Figure 4A and column 6, lines 8-11]) to be stored in the corresponding directory (directory 40, for example; see Figure 4A).

All of the steps are known in the two references. The only difference is the combination of old steps into a single process. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the method disclosed by Berstis include a voice file for describing a plurality of data files to achieve the predictable result of providing additional information about a group of data files.

While Berstis discloses that the files are arranged contiguously (see *id.*), he is silent with regard to the files having an index.

Endo discloses an imaging system, wherein:

files have respective names including sequentially arranged file indices based on the order of generation of the representative files (each file is assigned the next index number regardless of its type; see column 5, lines 24-39).

As stated in column 2, lines 35-40, an advantage of giving each file an index is that files can be reproduced chronologically, regardless of their type. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Berstis's system assign each of the sequential files an index.

Berstis is silent with regard to displaying the files of each of the groups.

Anderson discloses a digital imaging device, which:

selectively displays on the display device representative data-files of each of the groups (the device displays a number of images and icons representing grouped files; see Figure 4A and column 8, lines 6-14).

As stated in column 7, lines 50-53, an advantage of such a display is that a user may rapidly view the contents of the camera. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Berstis's system display groups.

Berstis is silent with regard to locating a directory and setting it as a present directory.

Shioji discloses a digital camera that performs the steps of:

locating the corresponding directory to store data-files among directories formed on the recording medium (register 32b stores a pointer to the current recording folder; see paragraphs 65 and 73); and

setting the located corresponding directory as a present directory (register 32b is set; see paragraph 65).

Applying the method of locating and setting a directory described by Shioji to the device disclosed by Berstis would yield the predictable result of avoiding the need to constantly re-locate the directory when each image is written. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Berstis's device locate and set a desired directory, as described by Shioji.

Regarding **claim 9**, Shioji discloses:

when the corresponding directory searched for is not found upon locating the corresponding directory, a new directory is generated and sets the newly generated directory as a present directory (see paragraph 109).

Regarding **claim 10**, Shioji discloses:

the name of the corresponding directory includes a directory index (100, 101, 102, etc., in Figure 2) and a characteristic code ("SANYO" in Figure 2) therein.

Regarding **claim 12**, Shioji discloses:

by the file generation step, the name of each of the data-files includes a characteristic code ("SANY" in Figure 2) and a data-file index (0001, 0003, etc., in Figure 2).

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis in view of Ojala, Endo, Anderson, Shioji, and Go (Japanese Patent Publication No. 2002/091814).

Claim 11 can be treated like claim 10. While Shioji shows in Figure 2 that each file has a characteristic code and index, he is silent with regard to each voice file having a directory index number.

Go discloses a data storage system that stores a plurality of audio files (see Drawing 2). Immediately prior to the file index number is the directory index of the file's corresponding directory (e.g., 1mpeglang101.wav is in folder mpeglang1). As suggested in lines 1-3 of the abstract, an advantage of this naming structure is that it is easier to determine where a file is located. For this reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have Shioji's system include a directory index number in each file name.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding **claims 13-15**, no prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests a method of managing data files in a digital apparatus, wherein voice files and data files are given sequentially arranged file indices based on the order of generation and grouped by associating a voice file with data files based on their file indices, wherein a voice file is given an index of one plus the highest index and all data files associated with that voice file are assigned subsequent indices.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2622

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Whipkey, whose telephone number is (571) 272-7321. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6 P.M. eastern daylight time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye, can be reached at (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J.T.W./
May 30, 2008

/Lin Ye/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622